Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/585,255	KONDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason Heidemann	2624
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jason Heidemann</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Andrew Harry</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 May 2010</u>	Time: <u>5:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claim 46		
Claims discussed: Claim 46		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Andrew W Johns/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amending Claim 46 to include "a non-transitory computer readable storage medium", since the claim when given the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim in light of the specification and Official Gazette Notice (1251 OG 212, made available February 23, 2010), concludes that the claim as a whole covers a transitory signal, which does not fall within the definition of a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter (In re Nuijten).

Applicant representative agreed to the purposed amendment to the claim.